



## UNITED S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|
| 09/432,851      | 11/02/99    | DODDS                | Ы | 17928-21            |

QM12/0130
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP
38TH FLOOR
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST
LOS ANGELES CA 90067-3024

EXAMINER ASTORINO, M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3736

##3

**DATE MAILED:** 01/30/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

| Garage Section   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| • 1  |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
| ·<br>./  | Office Antion Comments  | 09/432,851   | DODDS, W. JEAN   |  |  |  |
| 4  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  |   | Michael C Astorino   | 3736   |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the co   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  |   | (10 OFT TO EVOIDE AMONTH   | 'C) EROM   |  |  |  |
| THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any f  | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti<br>y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 f</u>   | <u>November 1999</u> .   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   | , —   | is action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)   | Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application   | 1.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | wn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| 5)   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)   | Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)[  | Claims $1-25$ are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| • •  | The specification is objected to by the Examin  | er.  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected   | to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | disapproved   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E   | Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority :   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(  | a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |
| •  | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | 1. Certified copies of the priority document  | ts have been received.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documen   |  | tion No  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bu  | ority documents have been receiv<br>ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ved in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14)  | Acknowledgement is made of a daim for dom   | isono priority ariasi so sierei g  | `,   |  |  |  |
| Attachmer  | nt(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15) 🗍 No   | tice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   |  |  |  |
| 16) 🛛 No   | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | ′ <b>=</b>   | al Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/432,851

Art Unit: 3736

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - Species 1 Claims 5-7 are directed to example 1: temperament and longevity
- Species 2 claims 8-10 is directed to example 2: immune stimulation and cellular inflammatory response
- Species 3 claims 11-13 is directed to example 3: inherited organ dysfunction or dysplasia
  - Species 4 claims 14-16 is directed to example 4: autoimmune thyroiditis
  - Species 5 claims 17-19 is directed to example 5: mammary cancer
  - Species 6 claims 20-22 is directed to example 6: immune surveillance
  - Species 7 claims 23-25 is directed to example 7: inherited bleeding disorders
- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-4 is generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/432,851

Art Unit: 3736

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. A telephone call was made to Charles Berman on 1/26/2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C Astorino whose telephone number is 703-306-9067. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/432,851

Art Unit: 3736

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0758 for regular communications and 703-308-0758 for After Final communications.

M. Astorino

January 28, 2001

ERIC F. WINAKUR PRIMARY EXAMINER